

On the Dec group of finite abelian Galois extensions over global fields.

Jean B. Nganou¹

New Mexico State University
Department of Mathematical Sciences
P.O. Box 30001, Department 3MB
Las Cruces, New Mexico 88003-8001
Email: jganou@nmsu.edu

Abstract: If K/F is a finite abelian Galois extension of global fields whose Galois group has exponent t , we prove that there exists a short exact sequence $0 \longrightarrow \text{Dec}(K/F) \longrightarrow \text{Br}_t(K/F) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{q \in \mathcal{P}} r_q \mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 0$ where $r_q \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $\mathcal{P}$ is a finite set of primes of F that is empty if t is square free. In particular, we obtain that if t is square free, then $\text{Dec}(K/F) = \text{Br}_t(K/F)$ which we use to show that prime exponent division algebras over Henselian valued fields with global residue fields are isomorphic to a tensor product of cyclic algebras. Finally, we construct a counterexample to the result for higher exponent division algebras.

Introduction: For any field F , $\text{Br}(F)$ denotes the Brauer group of F and for any positive integer n , $\text{Br}_n(F)$ denotes the subgroup of $\text{Br}(F)$ whose elements are the F -central division algebras whose exponent divides n . If K/F is any field extension of F , $\text{Br}(K/F)$ denotes the kernel of the restriction map $\text{Br}(F) \rightarrow \text{Br}(K)$, and $\text{Br}_n(K/F) = \text{Br}(K/F) \cap \text{Br}_n(F)$. In addition the Dec group of K/F denoted by $\text{Dec}(K/F)$ is the subgroup of $\text{Br}(K/F)$ generated by $\bigcup \text{Br}(L/F)$ where the union ranges over the cyclic subextensions L/F of K/F .

If F is a field containing a primitive n^{th} root of unity ω and $a, b \in F^*$. $A_\omega(a, b)$ will denote the symbol algebra over F with generators i, j satisfying $i^n = a$, $j^n = b$, $ij = \omega ji$. Furthermore, when there is no risk of confusion, $A_{-1}(a, b)$ will be denoted by (a, b) .

In addition assume that F contains a primitive n^{th} root of unity with $n = \exp(D)$, then it is also known that if $l(D)$ denotes the minimum number of symbols required to represent the Brauer class of D (recall that such an integer exists by the Merkurjev-Suslin Theorem), then $n(D) \leq l(D)$. Also, numerous examples of division algebras of prime exponent have been constructed by several authors with $n(D) < l(D)$, [see e.g., Ja, Sa, Ti₃]. Note that indecomposable division algebras satisfy the inequality. At the heart of many of these examples,

¹The results of this paper will be included in the Author PhD's dissertation. The author is very thankful to his advisor Dr. Morandi for his guidance. The author would also like to thank his Mathematical grandfather Dr. A. Wadsworth for his suggestions on improving the results of this paper.

lies the obstruction between the relative Brauer group and the Dec group. The aim of this paper is to study this obstruction for global fields. If K/F is a finite abelian Galois extension of global fields whose Galois group has exponent t , we define what is called a bad prime of F , then prove that there exists a short exact sequence $0 \longrightarrow \text{Dec}(K/F) \longrightarrow \text{Br}_t(K/F) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{\text{bad } q's} \frac{c_q}{d_q} \mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 0$ where c_q and d_q are positive integers with $c_q | d_q$. Observing that F has no bad primes when t is square free, we deduce that $\text{Dec}(K/F) = \text{Br}_t(K/F)$ whenever t is square free. Note that the special case ($t = 2$) of this result was proved using quadratic form theory [ELTW, Corollary 3.18]. We use this result to show that if L is a Henselian valued field containing a primitive p^{th} root of unity whose residue field is a global field, then any exponent p division algebra over L is isomorphic to a tensor product of degree p symbol algebras. The last part of this paper is devoted to constructing a counterexample that shows that non square free exponent division algebras over the class of fields studied may not be isomorphic to a product of symbol algebras. In fact, we construct an example of an exponent 4 division algebra over $\mathbb{Q}(i)((x))((y))$ that is not isomorphic to a product of symbol algebras of exponent dividing 4.

If F is a global field, P a prime of F and D is an F -algebra $r_{F_P/F}(D) \doteq D \otimes_F F_P$ will be denoted by D_P .

1 The Dec group of finite abelian Galois extensions over global fields

We start this section by the following easy lemma.

Lemma 1.1. *Let K/F a finite abelian Galois extension with galois group G . If t denotes the exponent of G , then $\text{Dec}(K/F) \subseteq \text{Br}_t(K/F)$*

Proof. Since $\text{Dec}(K/F)$ is generated by the relative Brauer groups $\text{Br}(L/F)$ where L/F runs over cyclic subextensions of K/F , it is enough to show that $\text{Br}(L/F) \subseteq \text{Br}_t(K/F)$ for every L/F cyclic subextensions of K/F . Note that for any such cyclic extension L/F , as $\mathcal{G}(L/F)$ is a quotient group of G , then $[L : F] = |\mathcal{G}(L/F)| = \exp(\mathcal{G}(L/F))$ divides $\exp(G) = t$. Therefore if $D \in \text{Br}(L/F)$, then $\exp(D)$ divides $\text{Ind}(D)$ which divides $[L : F]$, hence $\exp(D)$ divides t . Thus for every cyclic subextension L/F of K/F , $\text{Br}(L/F) \subseteq \text{Br}_t(K/F)$, therefore $\text{Dec}(K/F) \subseteq \text{Br}_t(K/F)$. $\square$

For local fields, the inclusion above is an equality as we now show.

Proposition 1.2. *Let $F = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$, or a local field, K/F a finite abelian Galois extension whose Galois group has exponent s . Then $\text{Dec}(K/F) = \text{Br}_s(K/F)$.*

Proof. We only need to prove that $\text{Br}_s(K/F) \subseteq \text{Dec}(K/F)$. If $F = \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$, then $K = \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$, and in either case the inclusion is obvious. Suppose that F is a local field and let $D \in \text{Br}_s(K/F)$. Note that there exists a cyclic subextension L/F of K/F of degree s (for example, if $\mathcal{G}(K/F) = \mathbb{Z}_s \times \mathbb{Z}_{t_2} \times \dots \times \mathbb{Z}_{t_m}$, take

$L = \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{Z}_{t_2} \times \dots \times \mathbb{Z}_{t_m})$. Therefore $\text{Ind}(D) = \exp(D)$ divides $t = [L : F]$, hence by [Re, Corollary 31.10], $D \in \text{Br}(L/F) \subseteq \text{Dec}(K/F)$, thus $D \in \text{Dec}(K/F)$ as needed. $\square$

Lemma 1.3. *Let F a global field, P a non-archimedean prime of F , $0 \leq r \leq n$, and let L/F be a cyclic extension such that $[L_P : F_P] = n$. If σ denotes a generator for $\mathcal{G}(L_P/F_P)$, then there exists $b \in F_P$ so that $\text{Inv}_P((L_P/F_P, \sigma, b)) = \frac{r}{n} + \mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof. Recall (see, e.g., [Re,]) that $\text{Inv}_P : \text{Br}(F_P) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ is an isomorphism, therefore there exists $A \in \text{Br}(F)$ so that $\text{Inv}_P(A) = \frac{r}{n} + \mathbb{Z}$. But this implies that $\text{Inv}_P(A^n) = \mathbb{Z}$, therefore $A^n \sim 1$, hence $\text{Ind}(A) = \exp(A)$ divides $n = [L_P : F_P]$. Thus $A \in \text{Br}(L_P/F_P)$, and by [Dr, Theorem 1 pp.71], there exists $b \in F_P$ so that $A \sim (L_P/F_P, \sigma, b)$, therefore $\text{Inv}_P((L_P/F_P, \sigma, b)) = \text{Inv}_P(A) = \frac{r}{n} + \mathbb{Z}$. $\square$

We set up the notations for the remaining of this section. F is a global field and K/F is a finite abelian Galois extension with Galois group G of exponent t . For any prime q of F , $G_q^{K/F}$ denotes the local Galois group $\mathcal{G}(K_q/F_q)$ which we will simply denote by G_q when there is no risk of confusion. Also denote by c_q the exponent of G_q and $d_q := \gcd(t, |G_q|)$. Note that as G_q is isomorphic to a subgroup of G , then $\exp(G_q)$ divides $\exp(G)$, but also $\exp(G_q)$ divides $|G_q|$, hence c_q divides d_q . We call a prime q bad if $c_q < d_q$.

Remark 1.4. If q is a bad prime of F , then G_q is not cyclic, therefore q is ramified because if q is unramified, then $G_q \cong \mathcal{G}(\overline{K}/\overline{F})$ which is cyclic. But since there are only finitely many ramified primes, it follows that there are only finitely many bad primes.

We need the following group theoretic results in Proposition 1.7 below.

Proposition 1.5. *Let G a finite abelian group of exponent t . Then there exist an integer $n \geq 1$, a family $\{H_i\}_{i=1}^n$ of cyclic subgroups of G of order t , and a subgroup D of G such that G/D is cyclic of order t satisfying $H_1 \cdot H_2 \cdots H_n = G$ and $H_i \cdot D = G$ for all i .*

Proof. Write $G = \mathbb{Z}_t \times \mathbb{Z}_{t_2} \times \dots \times \mathbb{Z}_{t_n}$ with $t_i | t$ for all i and set $D = \mathbb{Z}_{t_2} \times \dots \times \mathbb{Z}_{t_n}$, then G/D is cyclic of order t . Let $\sigma, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_n$ be the generators of $\mathbb{Z}_t, \mathbb{Z}_{t_2}, \dots, \mathbb{Z}_{t_n}$ respectively. For each $i = 2, \dots, n$, set $H_i = \langle \sigma \sigma_i^{-1} \rangle$ and $H_1 = \mathbb{Z}_t$. To see that all the required properties are satisfied, first let $H = H_1 \cdot H_2 \cdots H_n$, then H is a subgroup of G containing σ and $\sigma \sigma_i^{-1}$ for all i , hence H contains σ and σ_i for all i , therefore $H = G$. In addition, it is clear that $H_1 \cdot D = G$, and for each $i \geq 2$, $H_i \cdot D$ contains $\sigma \sigma_i^{-1}$ and σ_j for all $j \geq 2$, thus $H_i \cdot D$ contains σ and σ_j for all $j \geq 2$, therefore $H_i \cdot D = G$ for all i . $\square$

Dualizing the previous Proposition, we get:

Corollary 1.6. *Let G a finite abelian group of exponent t . Then there exist an integer $n \geq 1$, a family $\{H_i\}_{i=1}^n$ of subgroups of G with G/H_i cyclic of order t for each i , and there exists a cyclic subgroup D of G of order t satisfying $H_1 \cap H_2 \cap \dots \cap H_n = (1)$ and $H_i \cap D = (1)$ for all i .*

Proof. Since $G \cong \widehat{G} (:= \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(G, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}))$, it is enough to prove the result for $\widehat{G}$. A way to justify the previous isomorphism is to observe that the isomorphism is trivial for cyclic groups, and appeal to the fundamental theorem of finite abelian groups together with the fact that $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(-, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ preserves direct products. Now note that G has subgroups $\{H_i\}_{i=1}^n$ and D as in Proposition 1.5. For each $i = 1, \dots, n$, set $K_i = H_i^\perp$ and $D' = D^\perp$ (recall that if H is a subgroup of G , then $H^\perp := \{f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}; H \subseteq \ker f\}$ is a subgroup of $\widehat{G}$). On the other hand, for each i , $\widehat{G}/H_i^\perp \cong \widehat{H_i} \cong H_i$, so for each i , $\widehat{G}/K_i$ is cyclic of order t . In addition, for each i , $D^\perp \cap H_i^\perp = (D \cdot H_i)^\perp = G^\perp = (1)$, and $\bigcap_{i=1}^n H_i^\perp = (H_1 \cdot H_2 \cdots H_n)^\perp = G^\perp = (1)$, therefore $\bigcap_{i=1}^n K_i = (1)$ and $K_i \cap D' = (1)$ for each i as needed. $\square$

Corollary 1.6 and the Tchebotarev Density Theorem now yield:

Proposition 1.7. *Let F a global field and K/F a finite abelian Galois extension with Galois group G of exponent t . Then there exists cyclic subextensions $\{L_i/F\}_{i=1}^n$ of K/F of degree t so that $K = L_1 \dots L_n$ and there exists infinitely many P of F so that $[L_{iP} : F_P] = t$ for all i .*

Proof. G has subgroups $\{H_i\}_{i=1}^n$ and D as in Corollary 1.6. For each i let $L_i = \mathcal{F}(H_i)$, then $\mathcal{G}(L_i/F) \cong G/H_i$, therefore L_i/F is cyclic of degree t . On the other hand, $\mathcal{G}(K/(L_1 \dots L_n)) \subseteq \mathcal{G}(K/L_i) = H_i$ for all i , therefore $\mathcal{G}(K/(L_1 \dots L_n)) \subseteq \bigcap_{i=1}^n H_i = (1)$, hence $\mathcal{G}(K/(L_1 \dots L_n)) = (1)$, thus $K = L_1 \dots L_n$. Let σ be a generator of D , by the Tchebotarev Density Theorem [Pi, p363], there are infinitely many primes P of F so that $G_P^{K/F} = \langle \sigma \rangle$. For each of these primes P , and each i , $G_P^{K/L_i} = (G_P^{K/F}) \cap H_i = D \cap H_i = (1)$ by Corollary 1.6, therefore for each i , $[K_P : L_{iP}] = |G_P^{K/L_i}| = 1$. In addition, as σ has order t , then $t = [K_P : F_P] = [K_P : L_{iP}][L_{iP} : F_P] = [L_{iP} : F_P]$, thus $[L_{iP} : F_P] = t$ for all i as needed. $\square$

From now and for the rest of this section F will be a global field, K/F a finite abelian Galois extension with cyclic subextensions $\{L_i/F\}_{i=1}^n$ as in Proposition 1.7.

Remark 1.8. Let G be a finite abelian group, $\{H_i\}_{i=1}^n$ subgroups of G with $\bigcap_{i=1}^n H_i = (1)$ and G/H_i cyclic for each i . Then $\exp(G) = \text{lcm}\{|G/H_i|\}$. To see this, note that since $\bigcap_{i=1}^n H_i = (1)$, then $\widehat{G} = \langle H_i^\perp \rangle$, hence $\exp(G) = \exp(\widehat{G}) = \text{lcm}\{\exp(H_i^\perp)\} = \text{lcm}\{|G/H_i|\}$. The last equality holds because for each i , $G/H_i \cong H_i^\perp$ and G/H_i is cyclic.

In particular if q is a prime of F and $G_q = \mathcal{G}(K_q/F_q)$, let $H_i = \mathcal{G}(K_q/L_{iq})$ for each i , then $G_q/H_i \cong \mathcal{G}(L_{iq}/F_q)$ and G_q/H_i is cyclic for each i . As $K = L_1 \dots L_n$, then $K_q = L_{1q} \dots L_{nq}$, hence $\bigcap_{i=1}^n H_i = (1)$. Therefore, by the above

$c_q := \exp(G_q) = \text{lcm}\{|G_q/H_i|\} = \text{lcm}\{[L_{iq} : F_q]\}$. Thus for each prime q , $c_q = \text{lcm}\{[L_{iq} : F_q]\}$.

Remark 1.9. If $K = L_1 \dots L_n$ as in Proposition 1.7, then $\text{Dec}(K/F) = \langle \text{Br}(L_i/F) : i = 1, \dots, n \rangle$. To see this, note that in [Ti₁, Lemme 1.3] all that is needed for the argument is that the χ_i generate the character group $\chi(K/F)$, and this is equivalent to the L_i generating K as fields which is our assumption.

Example 1.10. An example of a finite abelian Galois extension with a bad prime. Consider $F = \mathbb{Q}(i)$, $K = F(\sqrt[4]{5}, \sqrt[4]{14})$ and q an extension of the 5 adic valuation v_5 on $\mathbb{Q}$ to F . We claim that $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ and $G_q \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$, and this would imply that q is a bad prime of F ($c_q = 2 < 4 = d_q$). Using the fact that 7 is prime in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$, and the Eisenstein Criterion, one sees that $x^4 - 14$ is irreducible over F , so $[F(\sqrt[4]{14}) : F] = 4$. It is also easy to see that $\sqrt[4]{5} \notin F(\sqrt[4]{14})$ (this is true just because $\sqrt[4]{5} \notin \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[4]{14})$), thus $|G| = [K : F] = 8$, in addition since K is the composite of $F(\sqrt[4]{14})$ and $F(\sqrt[4]{5})$ which are linearly disjoint over F , then $G := \mathcal{G}(K/F) = \mathcal{G}(F(\sqrt[4]{14})/F) \oplus \mathcal{G}(F(\sqrt[4]{5})/F)$, hence $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$. It remains to see that $G_q \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$. First we have $K_q = F_q(\sqrt[4]{5}, \sqrt[4]{14})$, and we assert that $F_q(\sqrt[4]{14}) = F_q(\sqrt[4]{2})$. To see the last assertion, note first that as v_5 has two extensions to F ($5 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$), then $\overline{F} = \mathbb{Z}_5$; in addition let $L = F(\sqrt[4]{14})$, then $\overline{L} = \overline{F}(\sqrt[4]{14}) = \mathbb{Z}_5(\sqrt[4]{2^2}) = \mathbb{Z}_5(\sqrt[4]{2})$, hence as L_q/F_q is unramified, $[L_q : F_q] = [\overline{L}_q : \overline{F}_q] = [\overline{L} : \overline{F}] = [\mathbb{Z}_5(\sqrt[4]{2}) : \mathbb{Z}_5] = 2$. On the other hand, as $\overline{L}_q = \overline{L} = \mathbb{Z}_5(\sqrt[4]{2})$, then $x^2 - 2$ has a root in $\overline{L}_q$, therefore by the Hensel Lemma, $\sqrt[4]{2} \in L_q = F_q(\sqrt[4]{14})$, hence $F_q(\sqrt[4]{2}) \subseteq F_q(\sqrt[4]{14})$ and by degree count $F_q(\sqrt[4]{2}) = F_q(\sqrt[4]{14})$ as claimed. Finally we have $K_q = F_q(\sqrt[4]{5}, \sqrt[4]{14}) = F_q(\sqrt[4]{5}, \sqrt[4]{2})$. We show that $[K_q : F_q] = 4$. Note that $\sqrt[4]{2} \in \overline{K}_q$, so $[\overline{K}_q : \overline{F}_q] \geq [\overline{F}_q(\sqrt[4]{2}) : \overline{F}_q] = [\mathbb{Z}_5(\sqrt[4]{2}) : \mathbb{Z}_5] = 2$. In addition $\frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z} \in \Gamma_{K_q}/\Gamma_{F_q}$ (being the coset of the value of $\sqrt[4]{5}$), then $[\Gamma_{K_q} : \Gamma_{F_q}] \geq 2$. Therefore by the fundamental inequality, $[K_q : F_q] \geq [\overline{K}_q : \overline{F}_q][\Gamma_{K_q} : \Gamma_{F_q}] \geq 4$, but since $K_q = F_q(\sqrt[4]{5}, \sqrt[4]{2})$, then $[K_q : F_q] \leq 4$, thus $[K_q : F_q] = 4$ as claimed, thus $G_q \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$.

The following result is key to the proof of the main result.

Proposition 1.11. *Let $D \in \text{Br}(F)$, then $D \in \text{Dec}(K/F)$ if and only if $D_P \in \text{Dec}(K_P/F_P)$ for all primes P of F .*

Proof. It is obvious that $D \in \text{Dec}(K/F)$ implies $D_P \in \text{Dec}(K_P/F_P)$ for all primes P of F .

Conversely, suppose $D_P \in \text{Dec}(K_P/F_P)$ for all primes P of F . Recall that by Proposition 1.7, there exists cyclic subextensions $\{L_i/F\}_{i=1}^n$ of K/F of degree t so that $K = L_1 \dots L_n$ and there exists infinitely many P of F so that $[L_{iP} : F_P] = t$ for all i . Recall also that there is a well-known short exact sequence [see for example Re, 32.13]:

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Br}(F) \xrightarrow{\oplus r_{F_P/F}} \bigoplus_P \text{Br}(F_P) \xrightarrow{\oplus \text{Inv}_{F_P}} \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 0 \quad (S)$$

Let $\{P_1, \dots, P_m\}$ be the support of D . By assumption, $D_{P_j} \in \text{Dec}(K_{P_j}/F_{P_j})$ for all $j = 1, \dots, m$. Since for each j , $K_{P_j} = L_{1P_j} \dots L_{nP_j}$, then by Remark ?? and [Dr, Th. 1 pp.73], for each j , there exist $b_{1j}, \dots, b_{nj} \in F_{P_j}^*$ such that

$$D_{P_j} \sim \bigotimes_{i=1}^n (L_{iP_j}/F_{P_j}, \sigma_{ij}, b_{ij}). \quad (1)$$

For each $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $j = 1, \dots, m$, write $\text{Inv}_{P_j}[(L_{iP_j}/F_{P_j}, \sigma_{ij}, b_{ij})] = \frac{t_{ij}}{s_{ij}} + \mathbb{Z}$ with $\gcd(t_{ij}, s_{ij}) = 1$. Then $\exp[(L_{iP_j}/F_{P_j}, \sigma_{ij}, b_{ij})] = s_{ij}$ for all i, j . But as $[L_{iP_j} : F_{P_j}]$ divides t for all i, j , then $(L_{iP_j}/F_{P_j}, \sigma_{ij}, b_{ij})^{\otimes t} \sim 1$ for all i, j ; thus s_{ij} divides t for all i, j . Therefore, for each $i = 1, \dots, n$, there exists $0 \leq t_i < t$ so that

$$\sum_{j=1}^m \text{Inv}_{P_j}[(L_{iP_j}/F_{P_j}, \sigma_{ij}, b_{ij})] = \frac{t_i}{t} + \mathbb{Z}. \text{ For each } i = 1, \dots, n, \text{ set } r_i = t - t_i. \text{ Then}$$

by Proposition 1.7 there exists a non-Archimedean prime $P_* \notin \{P_1, \dots, P_m\}$ so that $[L_{iP_*} : F_{P_*}] = t$ for each $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then by Lemma 1.3, there exists $b_i^* \in F_{P_*}$ so that $\text{Inv}_{P_*}[(L_{iP_*}/F_{P_*}, \sigma_i, b_i^*)] = \frac{r_i}{t} + \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore, for each $i = 1, \dots, n$, the element of $\bigoplus_P \text{Br}(F_P)$ whose P_j coordinate is $(L_{iP_j}/F_{P_j}, \sigma_{ij}, b_{ij})$ ($j = 1, \dots, m$), the P_* 's coordinate is $(L_{iP_*}/F_{P_*}, \sigma_i, b_i^*)$, and the P' 's coordinate is F_P for $P \notin \{P_1, \dots, P_m, P_*\}$ is in the kernel of the map $\bigoplus \text{Inv}_{F_P}$. Hence by exactness of (S) , there exists $A_i \in \text{Br}(F)$ so that :

$$\begin{aligned} A_{iP_j} &\sim (L_{iP_j}/F_{P_j}, \sigma_{ij}, b_{ij}) & j = 1, \dots, m \\ A_{iP_*} &\sim (L_{iP_*}/F_{P_*}, \sigma_i, b_i^*) \\ A_{iP} &\sim 1 & \text{for } P \notin \{P_1, \dots, P_m, P_*\} \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

We will complete the proof by the following two claims:

Claim 1: $D \sim \bigotimes_{i=1}^n A_i$

We need to see that $D_P \sim \bigotimes_{i=1}^n A_{iP}$ for all primes P . This holds trivially for primes $P \notin \{P_1, \dots, P_m, P_*\}$ and easily for primes $P \in \{P_1, \dots, P_m\}$ using the relations (1) and (2). On the other hand, $(\bigotimes_{i=1}^n A_i) \otimes D^{\text{op}}$ has invariants $\mathbb{Z}$ everywhere except possibly at P_* , but as $(\bigotimes_{i=1}^n A_i) \otimes D^{\text{op}} \in \text{Br}(F)$, the exactness of the sequence (S) implies that the sum of all the invariants of $(\bigotimes_{i=1}^n A_i) \otimes D^{\text{op}}$ is $\mathbb{Z}$, hence its invariant at P_* must also be $\mathbb{Z}$. Therefore $(\bigotimes_{i=1}^n A_i) \otimes D^{\text{op}} \sim 1$, and Claim 1 follows.

Claim 2: $A_i \in \text{Br}(L_i/F)$ for each $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Again, we just need to prove this locally at each prime of F . Let $i = 1, \dots, n$ and P a prime of F ; if $P = P_j \in \{P_1, \dots, P_m\}$, then $A_{iP_j} \sim (L_{iP_j}/F_{P_j}, \sigma_{ij}, b_{ij})$, therefore $A_{iP_j} \in \text{Br}(L_{iP_j}/F_{P_j})$. If $P = P_*$, then $A_{iP_*} \sim (L_{iP_*}/F_{P_*}, \sigma_i, b_i^*)$, so $A_{iP_*} \in \text{Br}(L_{iP_*}/F_{P_*})$. If $P \notin \{P_1, \dots, P_m, P_*\}$, then $A_{iP} \sim 1$ and the result holds trivially. $\square$

Remark 1.12. Let $D \in \text{Br}_t(K/F)$, then for any prime q of F , $D_q \in \text{Br}_t(K_q/F_q)$. Write $\text{Inv}_q(D_q) = \frac{r}{s} + \mathbb{Z}$ with $\gcd(r, s) = 1$, then $\text{Ind}(D_q) = \exp(D_q) = s$, therefore s divides t and $[K_q : F_q]$, hence s divides d_q . Therefore $D \in \text{Br}_t(K/F)$ implies for each prime q of F , $\text{Inv}_q(D_q) = \frac{r}{s} + \mathbb{Z} = \frac{r'}{d_q} + \mathbb{Z} \in \frac{1}{d_q}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}$. On the other hand if $D \in \text{Dec}(K/F)$, then $D_q \in \text{Dec}(K_q/F_q)$ for all primes q of F . But since $K_q = L_{1q} \cdots L_{nq}$, then by Remark ?? $D_q \sim A_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes A_n$ with $A_i \in \text{Br}(L_{iq}/F_q)$ for each i . Hence for each i , $\exp(A_i) = \text{Ind}(A_i)$ divides $[L_{iq} : F_q]$, thus for each prime q , $\exp(D_q)$ divides $\text{lcm}(\exp(A_i))$ which divides $\text{lcm}[L_{iq} : F_q] = c_q$ [Remark 1.8]. But as $\text{Inv}_q(D_q) = \frac{n_q}{\exp(D_q)} + \mathbb{Z}$ for some integer n_q , it follows that for each prime q , $\text{Inv}_q(D_q) = \frac{n'_q}{c_q} + \mathbb{Z}$ for some integer n'_q . Therefore $D \in \text{Dec}(K/F)$ implies for each prime q of F , $\text{Inv}_q(D_q) \in \frac{1}{c_q}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}$.

The following is the main result of this paper.

Theorem 1.13. *Let K/F a finite abelian Galois extension of global fields whose Galois group $G := \mathcal{G}(K/F)$ has exponent t . Then there is a short exact sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Dec}(K/F) \xrightarrow{f} \text{Br}_t(K/F) \xrightarrow{g} \bigoplus_{\text{bad } q's} \frac{c_q}{d_q} \mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 0$$

where f is the inclusion map [Lemma 1.1].

Proof. By Remark 1.12, there is a group homomorphism $\varphi : \text{Br}_t(K/F) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{\text{bad } q's} \frac{1}{d_q} \mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}$ defined by $\varphi([D]) = \{\text{Inv}_q(D_q)\}_{\text{bad } q's}$ and the restriction ϕ of φ to $\text{Dec}(K/F)$ is a group homomorphism $\text{Dec}(K/F) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{\text{bad } q's} \frac{1}{c_q} \mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}$. Let $\mathcal{K} = \ker \varphi$. We assert that $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \text{Dec}(K/F)$. To see this, let $D \in \mathcal{K}$, then $D \in \text{Br}_t(K/F)$ and $\text{Inv}_q(D_q) = \mathbb{Z}$ for each bad prime q . So for each bad prime q , $D_q \sim 1$. But on the other hand since $D \in \text{Br}_t(K/F)$, then for each prime q , $D_q \in \text{Br}_t(K_q/F_q)$. Two cases can be considered:

Case 1: If q is a bad prime, then $D_q \sim 1 \in \text{Dec}(K_q/F_q)$.

Case 2: If q is not a bad prime, then as $D_q \in \text{Br}_t(K_q/F_q)$, then $\exp(D_q) = \text{Ind}(D_q)$ divides d_q , hence $D_q \in \text{Br}_{d_q}(K_q/F_q) = \text{Br}_{c_q}(K_q/F_q) = \text{Dec}(K_q/F_q)$. The last equality is Proposition 1.2, therefore $D_q \in \text{Dec}(K_q/F_q)$ for all primes q of F , hence by Proposition 1.11, $D \in \text{Dec}(K/F)$. We obtain the following commutative diagram where the vertical arrows are inclusion maps.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 \rightarrow & \mathcal{K} & \longrightarrow & \text{Dec}(K/F) & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \bigoplus_{\text{bad } q's} \frac{1}{c_q} \mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z} & \rightarrow 0 \\ & \parallel & & \downarrow \alpha & & \downarrow \beta & \\ 0 \rightarrow & \mathcal{K} & \longrightarrow & \text{Br}_t(K/F) & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \bigoplus_{\text{bad } q's} \frac{1}{d_q} \mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z} & \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

We show that the rows of the diagram are short exact sequences. But the only thing to show is that the maps φ and ϕ are surjective. Let $(r_q)_{\text{bad } q's} \in \bigoplus_{\text{bad } q's} \frac{1}{d_q} \mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}$, then for each bad prime q , $r_q = \frac{t_q}{d_q} + \mathbb{Z}$ for some integer t_q . Recall that by Remark 1.4, there are only finitely many bad primes, let $d = \text{lcm}\{d_q : q$

bad prime}. On the other hand, by Proposition 1.7, there exists a prime P of F that is not bad so that $[L_{iP} : F_P] = t$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. In addition, there exists, $D \in \text{Br}(F)$ with:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Inv}_q(D_q) &= \frac{t_q}{d_q} + \mathbb{Z} && \text{for each bad prime } q \\ \text{Inv}_P(D_P) &= \frac{n'}{d} + \mathbb{Z} \\ \text{Inv}_q(D_q) &= \mathbb{Z} && \text{for } q \notin \{\text{bad primes}, P\} \end{aligned}$$

where $n' = -d \sum_{\text{bad } q's} \frac{t_q}{d_q}$.

Claim: $D \in \text{Br}_t(K/F)$ and $\varphi([D]) = (r_q)$.

Note that for each bad prime q , d_q divides t , therefore d divides t . Also for each prime q (bad or not), $o(\text{Inv}_q(D_q))$ divides d (where $o(\text{Inv}_q(D_q))$ denotes the order of $\text{Inv}_q(D_q)$ in $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$), so $\text{lcm}\{o(\text{Inv}_q(D_q)) : q \in \{\text{bad primes}, P\}\}$ divides d , hence as $\exp(D) = \text{lcm}\{o(\text{Inv}_q(D_q)) : q \in \{\text{bad primes}, P\}\}$, then $\exp(D)$ divides d , thus $\exp(D)$ divides t . It remains to show that $D \in \text{Br}(K/F)$, or equivalently $D_q \in \text{Br}(K_q/F_q)$ for all primes q of F which we now show by considering the following cases.

Case 1: If q is a bad prime, $\text{Ind}(D_q) = o(\text{Inv}_q(D_q))$ divides d_q and d_q divides $|G_q| = [K_q : F_q]$, thus $D_q \in \text{Br}(K_q/F_q)$.

Case 2: If $q = P$, then $\text{Ind}(D_P) = o(\text{Inv}_P(D_P))$ divides d and d divides $t = [L_{1P} : F_P]$, so t divides $[K_q : F_q]$, thus $\text{Ind}(D_P)$ divides $[K_q : F_q]$, hence $D_q \in \text{Br}(K_q/F_q)$.

Case 3: If $q \notin \{\text{bad primes}, P\}$, then $D_q \sim 1$, hence $D_q \in \text{Br}(K_q/F_q)$.

Therefore $D \in \text{Br}_t(K/F)$, and clearly by construction of D , $\varphi([D]) = (r_q)_{\text{bad } q's}$.

We show that ϕ is also surjective. Let $(r_q)_{\text{bad } q's} \in \bigoplus_{\text{bad } q's} \frac{1}{c_q} \mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}$. Then for each bad prime q , $r_q = \frac{t_q}{c_q} + \mathbb{Z}$ for some integer t_q . Recall that by Remark 1.4, there are only finitely many bad primes, let $c = \text{lcm}\{c_q : q \text{ bad prime}\}$. Note that as $c_q | d_q$ for each q , then $c | d$ and from the above $d | t$, it follows that $c | t$. On the other hand, by Proposition 1.7, there exists a prime P of F that is not bad so that $[L_{iP} : F_P] = t$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. In addition, there exists, $D \in \text{Br}(F)$ with

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Inv}_q(D_q) &= \frac{t_q}{c_q} + \mathbb{Z} && \text{for each bad prime } q \\ \text{Inv}_P(D_P) &= \frac{m'}{c} + \mathbb{Z} \\ \text{Inv}_q(D_q) &= \mathbb{Z} && \text{for } q \notin \{\text{bad primes}, P\} \end{aligned}$$

where $m' = -c \sum_{\text{bad } q's} \frac{t_q}{c_q}$.

Claim: $D \in \text{Dec}(K/F)$ and $\phi([D]) = (r_q)_{\text{bad } q's}$.

To show that $D \in \text{Dec}(K/F)$, it is enough by Proposition 1.11 to show that $D_q \in \text{Dec}(K_q/F_q)$ for all primes q of F which we now show again by considering few cases:

Case 1: If q is a bad prime, $\text{Ind}(D_q) = o(\text{Inv}_q(D_q))$ divides c_q and c_q divides $|G_q| = [K_q : F_q]$, thus by [Re, Corollary 31.10], $D_q \in \text{Br}_{c_q}(K_q/F_q) = \text{Dec}(K_q/F_q)$. The last equality follows from Proposition 1.2.

Case 2: If $q = P$, then $\text{Ind}(D_P) = o(\text{Inv}_P(D_P))$ divides c and c divides $t = [L_{1P} : F_P]$, so t divides $[K_q : F_q] = |G_q|$ (so $d_q = c_q = t$). Thus $\text{Ind}(D_P)$ divides $[K_q : F_q]$, hence $D_q \in \text{Br}_{c_q}(K_q/F_q) = \text{Dec}(K_q/F_q)$ again by Proposition 1.2.

Case 3: If $q \notin \{\text{bad primes}, P\}$, then $D_q \sim 1$, hence $D_q \in \text{Dec}(K_q/F_q)$.

Therefore $D \in \text{Dec}(K/F)$, and clearly by construction of D , $\phi([D]) = (r_q)_{\text{bad } q's}$.

By the Snake Lemma,

$$\text{Br}_t(K/F)/\text{Dec}(K/F) \cong \left(\bigoplus_{\text{bad } q's} \frac{1}{d_q} \mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z} \right) / \left(\bigoplus_{\text{bad } q's} \frac{1}{c_q} \mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z} \right) \cong \bigoplus_{\text{bad } q's} \frac{c_q}{d_q} \mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}$$

which completes the proof of the Theorem. $\square$

Corollary 1.14. *Let K/F be a finite abelian Galois extension of global fields whose Galois group has a square free exponent t*

Then $\text{Dec}(K/F) = \text{Br}_t(K/F)$

Proof. Suppose that t is square free. Let q a prime of F , and prime number p dividing d_q , then p divides $|G_q|$, thus G_q has a subgroup H of order p , thus $p = \exp H$ divides $\exp(G_q) = c_q$. So c_q and d_q have the same prime factors, but as t is square free, so are c_q and d_q , thus $c_q = d_q$. Therefore F has no bad primes, and by the exactness of the sequence of Theorem 1.13, $\text{Dec}(K/F) = \text{Br}_t(K/F)$. $\square$

2 Division algebras over Henselian valued field with residue a local or global field

In this section, we show that if F is a Henselian valued field containing a primitive p^{th} root of unity so that $\overline{F}$ is either a local or a global field and $\text{Char}(\overline{F}) \neq p$, every prime exponent division algebra over F is isomorphic to a product of symbol algebras. We also construct an example to show that the result does not generalize to higher exponent algebras. In this section, we will use the terminologies inertial, NSR (nicely semiramified), TR (totally ramified) division algebras whose definitions and related results can be found in [JW, sections 1 and 4]. We will also use the terminologies of gauges and armatures. A good reference for gauges in [TW₁] and a good reference for armatures is [TW₂].

Proposition 2.1. *Let F be a Henselian valued field containing a primitive p^{th} root of unity such that $\overline{F}$ is either a local or a global field with $\text{Char}(\overline{F}) \neq p$. Then for each $D \in \text{Br}_p(F)$, $\text{Ind}(D) = p^{l(D)}$, or equivalently any prime exponent division algebra is isomorphic to a tensor product of symbol algebras of degree the same prime.*

Proof. We will prove that D is isomorphic to a product of symbols. By [Ja, Lemma 3.4], D can be decomposed as $D \sim I \otimes N \otimes T$ where I is inertial, N is an NSR algebra, T is TR with $\Gamma_N \cap \Gamma_T = \Gamma_F$ and $\exp(I), \exp(N), \exp(T)$ divides p . Let $S \sim I \otimes N$, the underlying division algebra of $I \otimes N$. Then by [Mo, Theorem 1], since $\Gamma_S \cap \Gamma_T = \Gamma_F$, $\overline{S} \otimes_{\overline{F}} \overline{T}$ is a division algebra and T is defectless over F , then $D \cong S \otimes T$. Since T is a product of symbols [Dr₂, Thm1], it is enough to prove that S is a product of symbols. As the only prime exponent algebras over number/local fields are either split or symbols, we get by [JW, Proposition 2.8] $l(I) = l(T) = 0, 1$. If $I \sim 0$, then S is NSR and therefore by [JW, Proposition 4.4], is a product of symbols. If I is a symbol, then as $\text{ind}(S) = \text{ind}(\overline{I}_{\overline{N}}) \text{ind}(N)$ [JW, Theorem 5.15], then $\text{ind}(\overline{I}_{\overline{N}})$ divides p . Two cases are then to be considered. If $\text{ind}(\overline{I}_{\overline{N}}) = 1$, then $\overline{I} \in \text{Br}_p(\overline{N}/\overline{F}) = \text{Dec}(\overline{N}/\overline{F})$, the last equality is Corollary 1.14; hence $\overline{I} \in \text{Dec}(\overline{N}/\overline{F})$, therefore by [JW, Theorem 5.15], S is NSR. Finally if $\text{ind}(\overline{I}_{\overline{N}}) = p$, then by the formula $\text{ind}(S) = \text{ind}(\overline{I}_{\overline{N}}) \text{ind}(N)$, $[S : F] = [I \otimes N : F]$, thus $S \cong I \otimes N$, therefore S is a product of symbols. Consequently, D is isomorphic to a product of symbols, and it follows that $\text{ind}(D) = p^{l(D)}$. $\square$

Remark 2.2. The class of fields of Proposition 2.1 is the best class for which the result holds in the following sense. Number fields have transcendence degree 0 over $\mathbb{Q}$, and in [ART], there is an example of an indecomposable division algebra of index 8 over a Henselian valued field L with $\overline{L} = \mathbb{Q}(t)$, so by [Ti₂, Thm1], its length is 4. Proposition 2.1 is false in general for algebra of non prime exponent as we now show. We start by the following lemma which computes the value group of a tensor product of symbol algebras.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $A := \otimes_{k=1}^m A_{\omega}(a_k, b_k)$ a division algebra product of symbol algebras of degree n over a Henselian field (F, v) such that $\text{char}(\overline{F}) \nmid n$. If i_k, j_k denote the standard generators of $A_{\omega}(a_k, b_k)$, then $\Gamma_A/\Gamma_F = \langle v(i_k) + \Gamma_F, v(j_k) + \Gamma_F : k = 1, \dots, m \rangle$.*

Proof. Consider the canonical armature $\mathcal{A} = \langle \{i_k F^*, j_k F^* : k = 1, \dots, m\} \rangle \subseteq A^*/F^*$. Then the valuation v induces a group homomorphism $\tilde{v} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \Gamma_A/\Gamma_F$ defined by $\tilde{v}(cF^*) = v(c) + \Gamma_F$. For each $a \in \mathcal{A}$, choose in A exactly one representative x_a of the coset a ; then $(x_a)_{a \in \mathcal{A}}$ is a F -basis for A . Define $y : A \rightarrow \Delta \cup \{\infty\}$ by $y(\sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \lambda_a x_a) = \min_{a \in \mathcal{A}} (v(\lambda_a x_a))$. Then y is an F -gauge [TW, Proposition 4.7], and $\Gamma_{A,y} = \text{Im}(\tilde{v})$. But since y is an F -gauge, by [TW, Corollary 3.4], $y = v$, thus $\Gamma_{A,v} = \text{Im}(\tilde{v}) = \Gamma_A/\Gamma_F = \langle v(i_k) + \Gamma_F, v(j_k) + \Gamma_F : k = 1, \dots, m \rangle$ as needed. $\square$

In all that follows, because of using i as a generator of a symbol, we will denote by ξ the primitive 4^{th} root of unity i in $\mathbb{C}$

Proposition 2.4. *Let $k = \mathbb{Q}(\xi)$ and $L = k(\sqrt{5}, \sqrt{13})$. Then the factor group $\text{Br}_4(L/k)/\text{Dec}(L/k)$ is a non cyclic abelian group of exponent 2.*

Proof. Note since L is biquadratic, by [Ti2,Thm1], $\text{Dec}(L/k) = \text{Br}_2(L/k)$. Therefore it is obvious that $\text{Br}_4(L/k)/\text{Dec}(L/k)$ is an Abelian group of exponent 2. To show that $\text{Br}_4(L/k)/\text{Dec}(L/k)$ is non cyclic, it is enough to show that it contains more than two elements which we now proceed to do. More precisely, we will prove the existence of $A, B \in \text{Br}_4(L/k) \setminus \text{Dec}(L/k)$ with $A \approx B \pmod{\text{Dec}(L/k)}$. Note that since $5 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ the prime 5 of $\mathbb{Q}$ splits into two primes P_1, P_2 of k , and similarly 13 splits into two primes P_3, P_4 of k so that $[L_{P_j} : k_{P_j}] = 4$ for $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$. To see that these degrees are all 4, let's simplify the notation and denote P_1 by P . Then $\sqrt{13} \in \overline{L_P}$, so $[\overline{L_P} : \overline{k_P}] \geq [\overline{k_P}(\sqrt{13}) : k_P] = [\mathbb{Z}_5(\sqrt{13}) : \mathbb{Z}_5] = 2$. In addition $\frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z} \in \Gamma_{L_P}/\Gamma_{k_P}$ (being the coset of the value of $\sqrt{5}$), then $[\Gamma_{L_P} : \Gamma_{k_P}] \geq 2$. Therefore by the fundamental inequality, $[L_P : k_P] \geq [\overline{L_P} : \overline{k_P}][\Gamma_{L_P} : \Gamma_{k_P}] \geq 4$, but since $L_P = k_P(\sqrt{5}, \sqrt{13})$, then $[L_P : k_P] \leq 4$, thus $[L_P : k_P] = 4$ as claimed. The proof for P_2 is identical to the one for P_1 , and the proofs for P_3 and P_4 are similar. Now consider $A, B \in \text{Br}(k)$ with local invariants given in the table below

	P_1	P_2	P_3	P_4	all other primes
A	$\frac{1}{4} + \mathbb{Z}$	$\frac{1}{4} + \mathbb{Z}$	$\frac{1}{4} + \mathbb{Z}$	$\frac{1}{4} + \mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}$
B	$\frac{1}{4} + \mathbb{Z}$	$\frac{1}{4} + \mathbb{Z}$	$\frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}$

Therefore, $\text{ind}(A) = \text{ind}(B) = \exp(A) = \exp(B) = 4$, hence as $\text{Dec}(L/k) = \text{Br}_2(L/k)$ and $[L_{P_j} : k_{P_j}] = 4$ $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$, then $A, B \in \text{Br}_4(L/k) \setminus \text{Dec}(L/k)$. Finally, we assert that $A \approx B \pmod{\text{Dec}(L/k)}$, for otherwise there exists $D' \in \text{Dec}(L/k)$ with $A \sim B \otimes D'$. But this would imply that D' has local invariants $\frac{3}{4} + \mathbb{Z}$ at P_3 , $\frac{1}{4} + \mathbb{Z}$ at P_4 and $\mathbb{Z}$ at all other primes, which forces D' to have index 4. But since $\text{ind}(D') = \exp(D')$, D' would have exponent 4, which contradicts the fact that $D' \in \text{Dec}(L/k) = \text{Br}_2(L/k)$. Therefore, $A \approx B \pmod{\text{Dec}(L/k)}$ as claimed. $\square$

Corollary 2.5. *There exists $D_1 \in \text{Br}_4(L/k)$ with $\exp(D_1) = 4$ for which there does not exist $D_0 \in \text{Dec}(L/k)$ with $D_1 \sim A_\xi(13, 5) \otimes D_0$.*

Proof. At least one of the algebras A and B of the Proof of Proposition 2.4 will do as they couldn't both be congruent to $A_\xi(13, 5) \pmod{\text{Dec}(L/k)}$. $\square$

For what follows, D_1 will denote the algebra from Corollary 2.5 and $F = k((x))((y))$ the field of Laurent series equipped with the usual Henselian valuation v so that $\Gamma_F = \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ and $\overline{F} = k$. Let I the inertial lift of D_1 over F ; and D the underlying division algebra of $I \otimes_F N$ with N denoting the NSR division algebra $(5, x) \otimes_F (13, y)$. Then $\Gamma_N = \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z} \times \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ and $\overline{N} = L$. Note that by [JW, Proposition 5.15] and the definition of D_1 (See Corollary 2.5). $\overline{I}$ is split by $\overline{N} = L \cong \overline{D}$ (so D is semiramified), $\Gamma_D = \Gamma_N = \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z} \times \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ and $\text{ind}(D) = \text{ind}(N) = 4$. In addition there is a group isomorphism $I \text{Br}(F) \longrightarrow \text{Br}(k)$ sending I to D_1 [JW, Theorem 2.8]; therefore, $\exp(I) = \exp(D_1) = 4$. Since $\text{ind}(D) = 4$, then $\exp(D)$ is 2 or 4, but since $\exp(I) = 4$ and $\exp(N) = 2$, then $\exp(D) = 4$.

Theorem 2.6. *The division algebra D above has exponent 4 and is not isomorphic to a product of symbol algebras.*

Proof. If D is isomorphic to a product of symbol algebras, then as $\text{Ind}(D) = \exp(D) = 4$, then D is isomorphic to a single symbol division algebra $A_\xi(t, s)$ of degree 4. We let i, j be the standard generators. First, we show that if $D \cong A_\xi(t, s)$, then $D \cong A_\xi(ax^2, by^2)$ for some v -units a, b with $\bar{a}, \bar{b} \notin \bar{F}^{*2}$. Note that

$$\{(0, 0), (0, \frac{1}{2}), (\frac{1}{2}, 0), (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})\} \cong (\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z} \times \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z})/(\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}) \cong \Gamma_D/\Gamma_F$$

Since by Lemma 2.3 $\Gamma_D/\Gamma_F = \langle v(i) + \Gamma_F, v(j) + \Gamma_F \rangle$ and Γ_D/Γ_F is not cyclic, then $v(i) + \Gamma_F \neq v(j) + \Gamma_F$. In addition, as $A_\xi(t, s) \cong A_\xi(s, t^{-1}) \cong A_\xi(t, -ts)$, we can assume $v(i) + \Gamma_F = (\frac{1}{2}, 0) + \Gamma_F$ and $v(j) + \Gamma_F = (0, \frac{1}{2}) + \Gamma_F$. Thus, there is $\gamma \in \Gamma_F$ so that $v(i) = (\frac{1}{2}, 0) + \gamma$, hence $v(t) = (2, 0) + 4\gamma = v(x^2) + 4\gamma$. Therefore, there exists $\delta, a \in F$ with a unit so that $t = a\delta^4 x^2$; and similarly, there exists $\epsilon, b \in F$ with b unit so that $t = b\epsilon^4 y^2$. Thus $D \cong A_\xi(t, s) = A_\xi(a\delta^4 x^2, b\epsilon^4 y^2) \cong A_\xi(ax^2, by^2)$ as claimed. In addition, if either $\bar{a}$ or $\bar{b}$ was a square in $\bar{F}^*$, then by Hensel's lemma, a or b is a square in F , which implies that $A_\xi(ax^2, bx^2)$ is similar to a quaternion algebra and violates the exponent 4 assumption. Furthermore if $\bar{F}(\sqrt{\bar{a}}) = \bar{F}(\sqrt{\bar{b}})$, then there exists $\tilde{r} \in \bar{F}$, so that $\bar{a} = \bar{b}\tilde{r}^2$, there exists a v -unit $r \in F$ with $a = \text{Br}^2$; hence $D \cong A_\xi(ax^2, by^2) = A_\xi(\text{Br}^2 x^2, by^2) \sim A_\xi(b, b) \otimes (b, y) \otimes (rx, b) \sim A_\xi(b, -1) \otimes (b, y) \otimes (rx, b)$ which is exponent 2, therefore $[\bar{F}(\sqrt{\bar{a}}, \sqrt{\bar{b}}) : \bar{F}] = 4$. Next note that $\sqrt{\bar{a}}, \sqrt{\bar{b}} \in \bar{D}$ since $\bar{a} = \overline{i^2 x^{-1}^2}$ and $\bar{b} = \overline{j^2 y^{-1}^2}$. Then $\bar{F}(\sqrt{\bar{a}}, \sqrt{\bar{b}}) = \bar{D}$ because $\bar{F}(\sqrt{\bar{a}}, \sqrt{\bar{b}}) \subseteq \bar{D}$ and $[\bar{D} : \bar{F}] = [\bar{F}(\sqrt{\bar{a}}, \sqrt{\bar{b}}) : \bar{F}] = 4$. Therefore $\bar{D} \cong \bar{F}(\sqrt{5}, \sqrt{13}) \cong \bar{F}(\sqrt{\bar{a}}, \sqrt{\bar{b}})$. But as $\theta_D = \theta_N$ by JW, Prop. 5.15(a), it follows that $\bar{F}(\sqrt{5}) = \mathcal{F}(\theta_N((0, \frac{1}{2}) + \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z})) = \mathcal{F}(\theta_D((0, \frac{1}{2}) + \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z})) = \bar{F}(\sqrt{\bar{b}})$. Therefore, $\bar{F}(\sqrt{5}) = \bar{F}(\sqrt{\bar{b}})$, and similarly $\bar{F}(\sqrt{13}) = \bar{F}(\sqrt{\bar{a}})$. Hence, there exists $\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta} \in \bar{F}$ so that $\bar{a} = 13\tilde{\alpha}^2$ and $\bar{b} = 5\tilde{\beta}^2$, and by Hensel's Lemma there exists v -units $\alpha, \beta \in F$ so that $a = 13\alpha^2$ and $b = 5\beta^2$. The symbols manipulation yields

$$\begin{aligned} D &\cong A_\xi(ax^2, by^2) = A_\xi(13\alpha^2 x^2, 5\beta^2 y^2) \\ &\sim A_\xi(13, 5) \otimes A_\xi(13, \beta^2) \otimes A_\xi(13, x^2) \otimes A_\xi(\alpha^2, 5) \otimes A_\xi(x^2, 5) \\ &\sim A_\xi(13, 5) \otimes (5, \alpha) \otimes (13, \beta) \otimes (5, x) \otimes (13, y) \\ &\sim A_\xi(13, 5) \otimes (5, \alpha) \otimes (13, \beta) \otimes N \end{aligned}$$

But since $D \sim I \otimes N$, it follows that $I \sim A_\xi(13, 5) \otimes (5, \alpha) \otimes (13, \beta)$ and passing to the residue (which can be justified by JW, Examples 2.4(i), Proposition 2.5 and Theorem 2.8), we obtain $D_1 = \bar{I} \sim A_\xi(13, 5) \otimes (5, \bar{\alpha}) \otimes (13, \bar{\beta})$ which contradicts Corollary 2.5. $\square$

Remark 2.7. In his Ph.D thesis, F. Chang studied tame division algebras over generalized local fields which are Henselian field with finite residue fields. He

was able to prove that any tame division algebra over such field is isomorphic to a product of cyclic algebras. More details about these algebras can be found in [Ch]. Based on that result, we were curious to know whether or not we could generalize Proposition 2.1 to higher exponent division algebra, but as Theorem 2.6 shows, this is not the case.

References

- [ART] S. A. Amitsur, L. H. Rowen, and J. P. Tignol, Division Algebras of degree 4 and 8 with involution, *Israel J. Math.* **33** (1979), 133-148.
- [Ch] F. Chang, Division algebras over generalized local fields, URL <http://math.ucsd.edu/~thesis/thesis/fchang/fchang.pdf>
- [Dr₁] P. Draxl, Skew Fields *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series*, Vol. 81, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1983.
- [Dr₂] P. Draxl, Ostrowski's theorem for Henselian valued fields, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **354** (1984), 213-218.
- [ELTW] R. Elman, T. Y. Lam, J. P. Tignol, and A. R. Wadsworth, "Witt Rings and Brauer Groups under Multiquadratic Extensions", *American Journal of Mathematics* (1981) 1119-1170
- [Ja] B. Jacob, Indecomposable division algebras of prime exponent *J. reine angew. Math.* **413** (1991), 181-197.
- [JW] B. Jacob and A. Wadsworth, Division Algebras over Henselian Fields *J. Algebra* **128** (1990), 126-179.
- [Mo] P. Morandi, The Henselization of a Valued Division Algebra *J. Algebra* **122** (1989) 232-243
- [Pi] R. S. Pierce, Associative Algebras, *Springer Verlag*, Graduate text in Mathematics, **88** (1980)
- [Re] I. Reiner, Maximal Orders, *Academic Press Inc.* London, 1975.
- [Sa] D. Saltman, Indecomposable Division Algebras, *Comm. Alg.* **7** (1979) 791-817.
- [Ti₁] J. P. Tignol, Produits croisés abéliens *J. Algebra* **70** (1981) 420-436.
- [Ti₂] J. P. Tignol, Central Simple Algebras with Involutions Proc. Antwerp Conf 279-285, *Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math.*, 51, Dekker, New York, 1979
- [T₃] J. P. Tignol, Algebres Indecomposables d'exposant premier, *Adv. in Math* **65** (1987) 205-228.

- [TW₁] J. P. Tignol and A. Wadsworth, Value Functions and Associated Graded Rings for Semisimple Algebras, to appear in Trans. AMS. Available online at <http://www.math.uni-bielefeld.de/LAG/>.
- [TW₂] J. P. Tignol and A. Wadsworth, Totally Ramified Valuations on Finite-Dimensional Division Algebras, Trans. AMS **302** (1987).